# SAN DIEGO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

# REPORT ON PROPOSITION S BOND BUILDING FUND FINANCIAL AND PERFORMANCE AUDITS

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2021





WEALTH ADVISORY | OUTSOURCING AUDIT, TAX, AND CONSULTING

CLAconnect.com

## SAN DIEGO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT PROPOSITION S BOND BUILDING FUND TABLE OF CONTENTS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2021

| FINANCIAL AUDIT OF THE PROPOSITION S BOND BUILDING FUND  |    |
|--|----|
| INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT   | 1  |
| BALANCE SHEET  | 3  |
| STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES  | 4  |
| NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  | 5  |
| INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER<br>FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS<br>BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN<br>ACCORDANCE WITH <i>GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS</i> | 10 |
| SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES   | 12 |
| PERFORMANCE AUDIT OF THE PROPOSITION S BOND BUILDING FUND  |    |
| INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT   | 14 |
| BACKGROUND INFORMATION   | 15 |
| OBJECTIVES   | 15 |
| SCOPE OF THE AUDIT   | 15 |
| PROCEDURES PERFORMED   | 16 |
| CONCLUSION   | 16 |
| SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION  |    |
| CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE MEMBERS LISTING  | 18 |
| SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES BY PROJECT FOR PROPOSITION S  | 19 |

FINANCIAL AUDIT OF THE PROPOSITION S BOND BUILDING FUND



# **INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT**

Board of Trustees The Proposition S Citizens' Oversight Committee San Diego Community College District San Diego, California

### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition S Bond Building Fund of the San Diego Community College District (the District) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2021, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditors' judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.



CLA is an independent member of Nexia International, a leading, global network of independent accounting and consulting firms. See nexia.com/member-firm-disclaimer for details.

## Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Proposition S Bond Building Fund of the District as of June 30, 2021, and the change in financial position thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### **Emphasis of Matter**

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present fairly only the Proposition S Bond Building Fund and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the District as of June 30, 2021, or the change in its financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

### Other Information

Our audit of the Proposition S Bond Building Fund of the District was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements referred to above. The supplementary schedule is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. The supplementary schedule is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary schedule is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

The Citizen's Oversight Committee Members Listing has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on it.

# Other Reporting Required by *Government Auditing Standards*

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated January 22, 2022 on our consideration of the District's internal control over the Proposition S Bond Building Fund financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over the Proposition S Bond Building Fund financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control over the Proposition S Bond Building Fund financial report *Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control over the Proposition S Bond Building Fund financial report *Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control over the Proposition S Bond Building Fund financial report *Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control over the Proposition S Bond Building Fund financial reporting and compliance.

Clifton Larson Allen LLP

CliftonLarsonAllen LLP

Glendora, California January 22, 2022

# SAN DIEGO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT PROPOSITION S BOND BUILDING FUND BALANCE SHEET JUNE 30, 2021

#### ASSETS

| Cash in County Treasury  | \$<br>3,597,664                    |
|--|------------------------------------|
| Total Assets   | \$<br>3,597,664                    |
| LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE   |                                    |
| LIABILITIES<br>Accounts Payable<br>Due to Other Funds<br>Total Liabilities | \$<br>534,700<br>12,548<br>547,248 |
| FUND BALANCE<br>Restricted<br>Total Fund Balance                           | <br>3,050,416<br>3,050,416         |
| Total Liabilities and Fund Balance   | \$<br>3,597,664                    |

## SAN DIEGO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT PROPOSITION S BOND BUILDING FUND STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2021

| REVENUES<br>Interest Gain (Loss)<br>Total Revenues   | \$<br>24,394<br>24,394   |
|--|--------------------------|
| EXPENDITURES<br>Capital Outlay   | 58,811                   |
| <b>OTHER REVENUE (EXPENDITURES)</b><br>Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Investments<br>Total Expenditures | <br>(28,781)<br>(28,781) |
| NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE   | (63,198)                 |
| Fund Balance - Beginning of Year   | <br>3,113,614            |
| FUND BALANCE - END OF YEAR   | \$<br>3,050,416          |

# NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

## **Accounting Policies**

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) and *Audits of State and Local Governmental Units* issued by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

# Financial Reporting Entity

These financial statements are not intended to present fairly the financial position and results of operations of the District, as a whole, in compliance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

# Fund Structure

The statement of revenues, expenditures, and change in fund balance is a statement of financial activities of the Proposition S Bond Building Fund related to the current reporting period. Fund expenditures frequently include amounts for land, buildings, equipment, retirement of indebtedness, transfers to other funds, etc. Consequently, these statements do not purport to present the result of operations or the net income or loss for the period as would a statement of income for a profit-type organization.

# **Basis of Accounting**

The Proposition S Bond Building Fund is maintained on the modified accrual basis of accounting. As such, revenues are recognized when they become susceptible to accrual, which is to say, when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Expenditures are recognized in the accounting period in which the liability is incurred (when goods are received or services rendered).

Cash in the County Treasury is recorded at cost, which approximates fair value, in accordance with the requirements of GASB.

# Fund Balance Classification

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, the governmental fund financial statements present fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based on the extent to which the District is bound to honor constraints on the specific purposes for which amounts can be spent. Amounts for which constraints have been placed on the use of the resources either (a) externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments, or (b) imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation are considered restricted. The fund balance of the Proposition S Bond Building Fund is therefore classified as restricted.

# NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

# Capital Assets and Long-Term Debt

The accounting and reporting treatment applied to the capital assets and long-term liabilities associated with the Proposition S Bond Building Fund are determined by its measurement focus. The Proposition S Bond Building Fund is accounted for on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that only current assets and current liabilities are generally included on the balance sheet. The reported fund balance is considered a measure of "available spendable resources." Thus, the capital assets and long-term liabilities associated with the Proposition S Bond Building Fund are accounted for in the basic financial statements of the District.

# <u>Estimates</u>

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

### Risks and Uncertainties

The COVID-19 Pandemic has recently affected global markets, supply chains, employees of companies and our communities. Management of the organization is taking appropriate actions to mitigate the impact. However, the economic impact of COVID-19 is unknown and cannot be reasonably estimated as of June 30, 2021.

# NOTE 2 DEPOSITS – CASH IN COUNTY TREASURY

In accordance with Education Code Section 84000, the District maintains substantially all of its cash in the San Diego County Treasury as part of the common investment pool. These pooled funds are carried at amortized cost which approximates fair value. The fair value is 100.50% of the District's deposits for the Proposition S Bond Building Fund in this pool as of June 30, 2021, as provided by the County Treasurer.

The county is authorized to deposit cash and invest excess funds by California Government Code Sections 53534, 53601, 53635, and 53648. The county is restricted to invest in time deposits, U.S. government securities, state registered warrants, notes or bonds, State Treasurer's investment pool, bankers' acceptances, commercial paper, negotiable certificates of deposit, and repurchase or reverse repurchase agreements. The funds maintained by the county are either secured by federal depository insurance or are collateralized. The county investment pool is not required to be rated. Interest earned is deposited quarterly into participating funds. Any investment gains or losses are proportionately shared by all funds in the pool.

# NOTE 2 DEPOSITS – CASH IN COUNTY TREASURY (CONTINUED)

The county investment pool is not registered as an investment company with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) nor is it an SEC Rule 2a7-like pool. California Government Code statutes and the County Board of Supervisors set forth the various investment policies that the County Treasurer follows. The method used to determine the value of the participant's equity withdrawn is based on the book value, which is amortized costs, of the participant's percentage participation on the date of such withdrawals.

The pool sponsor's annual financial report may be obtained from the County of San Diego Treasurer-Tax Collector 1600 Pacific Highway, San Diego, CA 92101.

# NOTE 3 GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND DEBT

On November 5, 2002, by majority election of the district's registered voters, \$685,000,000 in general obligation bonds (Proposition S) were authorized to be issued and sold for the benefit of the District. Proceeds from the bonds are to be used for acquisition, construction, renovation, repair, and modernization of certain District property and facilities and to refund or advance refund certain obligations of the District. The bonds were scheduled to be issued in four increments over a 10-year period.

# 2009 Series

On May 13, 2009, Series 2009 of the Proposition S bond authorization was issued, consisting of serial bonds, term bonds, and convertible capital appreciation bonds with an initial par amount of \$131,293,506 with stated yield rates of 2.70% to 6.00% and maturing through August 1, 2033.

#### 2011 Series

On July 21, 2011, Series 2011 of the Proposition S bond authorization was issued, consisting of current interest serial bonds and capital appreciation serial bonds with an initial par amount of \$99,999,859 with stated yield rates of 0.27% to 6.69% and maturing through August 1, 2041.

# 2011 Refunding Series

On July 21, 2011, Series 2011, Refunding, of the Proposition S bond authorization was issued, consisting of current interest serial bonds with an initial par amount of \$22,230,000 with stated yield rates of 0.38% to 3.55% and maturing through August 1, 2023.

# 2012 Refunding Series

On March 22, 2012, Series 2012, Refunding of the Proposition S bond authorization was issued with an initial par amount of \$235,134,077 with stated yield rates of 0.18% to 3.10% and maturing through August 1, 2029. The Series 2012, Refunding retired \$290,680,000 of debt including \$244,320,000 of Series 2003A and Series 2005 of the Proposition S bond authorization.

# NOTE 3 GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND DEBT (CONTINUED)

### 2013 Series

On July 17, 2013, Series 2013 of the Proposition S bond authorization was issued, consisting of current interest bonds with an initial par amount of \$103,705,000 with stated yield rates of 0.20% to 4.25% and maturing through August 1, 2032, and this represents the final issuance for the Proposition S bond measure authorized.

# 2016 Refunding Series

On November 3, 2016, Series 2016, Refunding of the Proposition S bond authorization was issued, with an initial par amount of \$157,257,360 with stated yield rate of 0.74% to 3.00% and maturing through August 1, 2041. The Series 2016 Refunding retired \$524,205,000 of debt including \$163,715,000 of Series 2009 and Series 2011 of the Proposition S bond authorization and \$360,490,000 of Series 2007 and Series 2011 of the Prop N bond authorization.

# 2019 Refunding Series

On October 16, 2019, Series 2019, Refunding was issued, with an initial par amount of \$437,965,000 Series A. The bonds were sold with an interest rate ranging from 1.935% to 3.336% and maturity dates from August 1, 2020 through August 1, 2043. The 2019 Refunding Series A retired \$390,440,000 of debt, including \$5,500,000 of Series 2011 General Obligation Refunding Bonds; \$167,895,000 of Series 2012 General Obligation Refunding Bonds; \$167,895,000 of Series 2013 Bonds; and \$122,350,000 of Election of 2006. Series 2013 Bonds.

On October 16, 2019, Series 2019, Refunding was issued, with an initial par amount of \$255,470,000 Series B. The bonds were sold with an interest rate ranging from 2.407% to 3.316% and maturity dates from August 1, 2027 through August 1, 2041. The 2019 Refunding Series B are issued on a crossover basis to retire \$133,034,590 of convertible capital appreciation debt of Election of 2006, Series 2013 Bonds. The crossover date is August 1, 2023; the bonds remain as a long-term debt and will continue to accrete interest until the crossover date. Proceeds from the debt issuance of \$254,411,963 have been deposited in an escrow account to defease the debt on the crossover date.

The refunding results in an estimated present value savings in cash flow of \$103,108,777.

# NOTE 3 GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND DEBT (CONTINUED)

The District's outstanding general obligation bond debt of both Proposition S and Proposition N at June 30, 2021 is shown herein.

|                          | Date of    | Date of  | Interest     | Amount of        | Outstanding      |
|--------------------------|------------|----------|--------------|------------------|------------------|
| General Obligation Bonds | Issue      | Maturity | Rate %       | Original Issue   | June 30, 2021    |
| 2009 Series              | 5/13/2009  | 8/1/2033 | 2.70 - 6.00% | \$ 131,293,506   | \$ 29,998,506    |
| 2011 Series              | 7/21/2011  | 8/1/2041 | 0.27 - 6.69% | 99,999,859       | 5,490,000        |
| 2011 Series              | 7/21/2011  | 8/1/2021 | 0.27 - 4.86% | 250,000,000      | 18,024,859       |
| 2011 Series, Refunding   | 7/21/2011  | 8/1/2021 | 0.38 - 3.55% | 22,230,000       | 2,495,000        |
| 2012 Series, Refunding   | 3/22/2012  | 8/1/2027 | 0.18 - 3.10% | 279,755,000      | 43,695,000       |
| 2013 Series A            | 7/17/2013  | 8/1/2024 | 0.20 - 4.25% | 103,705,000      | 4,795,000        |
| 2013 Series B            | 7/17/2013  | 8/1/2023 | 0.20 - 6.23% | 272,996,022      | 138,805,808      |
| 2016 Series              | 11/3/2016  | 8/1/2034 | 0.74 - 3.17% | 122,005,000      | 111,705,000      |
| 2016 Series, Refunding   | 11/3/2016  | 8/1/2041 | 0.74 - 3.00% | 504,030,000      | 498,650,000      |
| 2019 Series A Refunding  | 10/16/2019 | 8/1/2043 | 1.93 - 3.34% | 437,965,000      | 427,550,000      |
| 2019 Series B Refunding  | 10/16/2019 | 8/1/2041 | 2.41 - 3.32% | 255,470,000      | 255,470,000      |
| Accreted Interest        |            |          |              |                  | 114,794,352      |
| Total                    |            |          |              | \$ 2,479,449,387 | \$ 1,651,473,525 |

The annual debt service requirements to maturity for general obligation bonds are as shown herein.

| Year Ending June 30, | Principal        | Interest |             |
|----------------------|------------------|----------|-------------|
| 2022                 | \$ 41,672,450    | \$       | 52,670,977  |
| 2023                 | 46,850,615       |          | 51,175,806  |
| 2024                 | 184,162,743      |          | 49,856,666  |
| 2025                 | 52,795,000       |          | 47,035,728  |
| 2026                 | 57,050,000       |          | 45,053,646  |
| 2027-2031            | 377,615,000      |          | 188,244,479 |
| 2032-2036            | 405,910,570      |          | 142,063,099 |
| 2037-2041            | 234,693,270      |          | 92,526,845  |
| 2042-2044            | 135,929,525_     |          | 16,336,699  |
| Total                | 1,536,679,173    | \$       | 684,963,945 |
| Accreted Interest    | 114,794,352      |          |             |
| Total                | \$ 1,651,473,525 |          |             |

# NOTE 4 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

#### Purchase Commitments

As of June 30, 2021, the District was not committed under any capital expenditure purchase agreements for bond projects.



### INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Trustees The Proposition S Citizens' Oversight Committee San Diego Community College District San Diego, California

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the Proposition S Bond Building Fund financial statements of the San Diego Community College District (the District), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2021, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's Proposition S Bond Building Fund financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated January 22, 2022.

# Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis of designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



CLA is an independent member of Nexia International, a leading, global network of independent accounting and consulting firms. See nexia.com/member-firm-disclaimer for details.

Board of Trustees The Proposition S Citizens' Oversight Committee San Diego Community College District

### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of non-compliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

### Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Clifton Larson Allen LLP

CliftonLarsonAllen LLP

Glendora, California January 22, 2022

## SAN DIEGO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT PROPOSITION S BOND BUILDING FUND SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES JUNE 30, 2021

There were no findings related to the financial audit of the Proposition S Bond Building Fund for the year ended June 30, 2021. In addition, there were no findings related to the financial audit of the Proposition S Bond Building Fund for the year ended June 30, 2020.

PERFORMANCE AUDIT OF THE PROPOSITION S BOND BUILDING FUND



CliftonLarsonAllen LLP CLAconnect.com

# **INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT**

Board of Trustees The Proposition S Citizens' Oversight Committee San Diego Community College District

We have conducted a performance audit of the San Diego Community College District (the District) Proposition S Bond Building funds for the year ended June 30, 2021.

We conducted our performance audit in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Our audit was limited to the objectives listed within this report which includes determining the District's compliance with the performance requirements for the Proposition 39 Proposition S Bond Building funds under the applicable provisions of Section 1(b)(3)(C) of Article XIIIA of the California Constitution. Management is responsible for the District's compliance with those requirements.

Solely to assist us in planning and performing our performance audit, we obtained an understanding of the internal control of the District to determine if internal controls were adequate to help ensure the District's compliance with the requirements of Proposition 39, as specified by Section 1(b)(3)(C) of Article XIIIA of the California Constitution. Accordingly, we do not express any assurance on internal control.

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the District expended Proposition S Bond Building funds for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2021, only for the specific projects developed by the District's Board of Trustees, and approved by the voters in accordance with the requirements of Proposition 39, as specified by Section 1(b)(3)(C) of Article XIIIA of the California Constitution.

Clifton Larson Allen LLP

CliftonLarsonAllen LLP

Glendora, California January 22, 2022



CLA is an independent member of Nexia International, a leading, global network of independent accounting and consulting firms. See nexia.com/member-firm-disclaimer for details.

### SAN DIEGO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT PROPOSITION S BOND BUILDING FUND PROPOSITION 39 PERFORMANCE AUDIT JUNE 30, 2021

### **BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

In November 2000, the voters of the State of California approved Proposition 39 authorizing the issuance of general obligation bonds by California public school districts and community colleges under certain circumstances and subject to certain conditions.

On November 5, 2002, by majority election of the district's registered voters, \$685,000,000 in general obligation bonds (Proposition S) were authorized to be issued and sold for the benefit of the District. Proceeds from the bonds are to be used for acquisition, construction, renovation, repair, and modernization of certain District property and facilities and to refund or advance refund certain obligations of the District. The bonds were scheduled to be issued in four increments over a ten-year period.

Pursuant to the requirements of Proposition 39, and related state legislation, the Board of Trustees of the District established a Citizens' Oversight Committee and appointed its members. The principal purpose of the Citizens' Oversight Committee, as set out in state law, is to inform the public as to the expenditures of the proceeds of the bonds issued pursuant to the Proposition S bond authorization. The Citizens' Oversight Committee is required to issue at least one report annually as to its activities and findings.

Section 1(b)(3)(C) of Article XIIIA of the California Constitution requires the District to conduct an annual independent performance audit to ensure that the proceeds of the bonds deposited into the Proposition S Bond Building Fund have been expended only for the authorized bond projects.

# OBJECTIVES

The objectives of our performance audit for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2021 were to:

- determine the expenditures charged to the District Proposition S Bond Building Fund;
- determine whether expenditures charged to the Proposition S Bond Building Fund have been made in accordance with the bond project list approved by the voters through the approval of Proposition S in November 2002.

### SCOPE OF THE AUDIT

The scope of our performance audit covered the fiscal period from July 1, 2020 to June 30, 2021. The propriety of expenditures for capital projects and maintenance projects funded through other state or local funding sources, other than the proceeds of the bonds, were not included within the scope of our audit. Expenditures incurred subsequent to June 30, 2021, were not reviewed or included within the scope of our audit or in this report.

## SAN DIEGO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT PROPOSITION S BOND BUILDING FUND PROPOSITION 39 PERFORMANCE AUDIT JUNE 30, 2021

## PROCEDURES PERFORMED

- We identified the expenditures and projects charged to the general obligation bond proceeds by obtaining the general ledger and project listing.
- We selected a judgmental sample of expenditures considering all object codes and projects for the year ended June 30, 2021. Our sample included 6 transactions totaling \$50,463 (85.80% of total expenditures).
- We reviewed the actual invoices and supporting documentation to determine that expenditures charged to projects were:
  - Supported by invoices with evidence of proper approval and documentation of receipt of goods or services;
  - Supported by proper bid documentation, as applicable;
  - Properly expended on the authorized bond projects as listed on the voter-approved bond project list.

# CONCLUSION

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the District has properly accounted for the expenditures of the funds held in the Proposition S Bond Building Fund and that such expenditures were made for authorized bond projects.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

### SAN DIEGO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT PROPOSITION S BOND BUILDING FUND CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE MEMBERS LISTING YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2021

The Citizens' Oversight Committee has the following members as of June 30, 2021 all of whom were appointed by the District's board of trustees with two-year terms, with a maximum of three consecutive terms of office:

| <u>Name</u>           | <u>Title</u>        | Representation   | Education   |
|-----------------------|---------------------|--|-------------|
| Leslie Bruce, J.D.    | Vice<br>Chairperson | Community - at - Large   | 15282(a)    |
| Mike Frattali         | Member              | San Diego County Taxpayers Association                                   | 15282(a)(3) |
| Shandon Harbour       | Member              | San Diego County Taxpayers Association                                   | 15282(a)(3) |
| Jason Paguio          | Member              | Active in a Business Organization  | 15282(a)(1) |
| Bob Kiesling          | Chairperson         | San Diego County Taxpayers Association                                   | 15282(a)(3) |
| Jane Gawronski, Ph.D. | Member              | Active in a Senior Citizen's Organization                                | 15282(a)(2) |
| Linda Zintz           | Member              | Community - at - Large   | 15282(a)    |
| Elizabeth Armstrong   | Member              | Active in the Support and Organization of the Community College District | 15282(a)(5) |
| Destiny Gallegos      | Student<br>Member   | Student Currently Enrolled in the District                               | 15282(a)(4) |

#### SAN DIEGO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT PROPOSITION S BOND BUILDING FUND SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES BY PROJECT FOR PROPOSITION N YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2021 (SEE INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT)

| Project Name   | Site                       | Expenditure |                        |
|--|----------------------------|-------------|------------------------|
| Technology & Distribution Center<br>Hourglass Park Field House<br>Child Development Center | Miramar<br>Miramar<br>City | \$          | 497<br>5,000<br>53,314 |
| Total  |                            | \$          | 58,811                 |

Investment advisory services are offered through CliftonLarsonAllen Wealth Advisors, LLC, an SEC-registered investment advisor. CLA is an independent member of Nexia International, a leading, global network of independent accounting and consulting firms. See nexia.com/member-firm-disclaimer for details. **CliftonLarsonAllen LLP** 

