

CURRICULUM & INSTRUCTIONAL SERVICES
DISTRICT ARTICULATION COUNCIL
ACTION ITEMS
November 2, 2005
Room 375

Attendees:

Libby Andersen – City Articulation Officer
Reginald Boyd – Miramar Evaluator
Paula Christopher – Mesa Evaluator
Myra Harada – Manager, Instructional Services
Lim Kaiser – Mesa Evaluator
Christina Monaco – City Evaluator
Susan Newell – Mesa Evaluator
Lynne Ornelas – Associate Dean, Tech Prep
Juliette Parker – Mesa Articulation Officer
Michelle Radley – Articulation Assistant, Instructional Services
Duane Short – Miramar Articulation Officer

ACTION ITEMS

1) Offering a recently activated course that is UCTCA approved at another college while waiting for UCTCA approval.

Evaluators and AOs recommend that if the course has not been approved for transferability, the college should not offer it. There is a potential negative effect on students who take the course without UCTCA status. Myra Harada will add the issue to the November 10th CIC agenda for review.

2) Student confusion between earning a degree and being ready to transfer. Liberal Arts, Option II degree requirements.

Evaluators and AO recommended adding the following statement “Earning an Associate degree does not imply completion of CSU G.E. or IGETC patterns. Check “Academic Requirements section of the catalog” pages 83 and 284 of City College catalog, pages 83 and 152 of Mesa College catalog and pages 111, 117 and 174 of Miramar College catalog.

Harada to add to the November 10th CIC agenda and invite Reginald Boyd to CIC, confirm with Vice President Bob Garber. Lim Kaiser may be alternate.

3) Difference between CSU (Golden 4) and CSU GE certified.

Admission to a CSU institution requires a grade of “C” or better in the Golden 4; to be CSU G.E. certified, a grade of “D” is sufficient.

Duane Short will come up with a draft statement clarifying the difference to students for the catalog and send it to Boyd for consideration. Once the statement has been finalized, Boyd will send to Articulation Officers and Evaluators. Harada to bring proposal to the November 10th CIC agenda for Senate review.

4) Upper Division Units.

Evaluators waive requirements with upper division courses if student petitions are approved.

5) CAN

Articulation Officers agreed to keep CAN designation in catalog until the 07-08 academic year.

6) Issues with Course Numbering system and Baccalaureate-level Courses.

Discussion regarding the use of 100 – 299 course numbers to indicate baccalaureate-level course outlines. Separate CSU transferability from private universities. Juliette Parker to bring this issue to the December 8th CIC meeting. Harada to add to CIC agenda for Senate review.

7) CSU review of A2 (GE) courses.

FYI

8) UCTCA request to include statement for High School Equivalency for foreign language in Catalog.

Parker will confirm high school equivalency language goal with UCOP, consult with foreign language faculty and provide statement for catalog.

9) Tech Prep Update.

Lynne Ornelas will send update on renewal of military articulation to Parker and Short.

10) AP and IB exams update.

Articulation Officers to check with faculty to determine articulation: History, Art-2D and 3-D; Environmental Studies, Geography. (Any articulation must be completed by March 9th for inclusion in catalog.)

11) Concern regarding inclusion of Prep for Major in the catalog.

Current prep for the major in the catalog is one year behind the four year catalog. Short to bring the issue to CIC on December 8th. Harada to add to CIC agenda for Senate review.

12) DAC meeting with Evaluators.

Harada to remind evaluators to attend the February 1st. DAC meeting.